

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/547,064	08/25/2005	Kiyoaki Takiguchi	450100-04953 <sup>-</sup>	6141
William C Fron	7590 02/04/2008		EXAMINER CROSLAND, DONNIE L	
William S Frommer Frommer Lawrence & Haug				
745 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10151			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2612	
				· .
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•			02/04/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/547,064	TAKIGUCHI, KIYOAKI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	DONNIE L. CROSLAND	2612				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value and the second period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 N</u>	<u>ovember 2007</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 30,32-34,36-45 and 47-66 is/are pend	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>30,32-34,36-45 and 47-66</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>65 and 66</u> is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 30,32-34,36-39,41,45,47-50 and 59-61 is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>40, 42-44, 51-58, and 6264</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	₽ <b>Г</b> .					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).				
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10-17-07	6) Other:	atom ripproution				

10/547,064 Art Unit: 2612

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 30, 32, 33, 34, 36-39, 41, 45, 47-50, and 59-61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vega et al (6275681) in view of Schyndel. Vega shows a communication system with first communication device 105 and second communication device 106 for detecting change in electrification condition of the electrification target 102 (human body 205) and demodulating the information through demodulator 203, see figure 2B.

Vega does not use the term "quasi-electrostatic field".

Schyndel shows a communication system and provides for quasi-static electric fields.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide quasi-static electric fields in the communication system of Vega because the use and advantages of a quasi-static electric field employed in a communication system is taught by Schyndel.

Any advantages seen are those naturally expected to occur due to the quasistatic electric field.

The portability of the device (claims 32, 33, 37, and 48) would not involve patentable invention as evidenced by the use of conventional card reader and tag reader employed in facilities such as at a guard desk or in a banking environment, see portable system in col. 6, lines 4-12 of Vega.

The human body is shown in figure 2B.

With respect to claims 33 and 49, see figure 2B.

With respect to claims 34, 36, modulation is provided in col. 7, lines 41-52 of Vega.

With respect to claim 38, electric power and electric charge are limited with respect to power manager 412; see col. 9, lines 41-67 and col. 10 of Vega.

With respect to claim 39, see "read range" in col. 5, lines 60-67 of Vega.

With respect to claim 41, see storage means as indicated in processor 204 in figure 2B of Schyndel. See electrodes in figure 4 and storage means 410.

With respect to claim 45, see demodulator 203 in figure 2A of Vega.

With respect to claim 47, see antenna in col. 15, lines 24-28 of Vega.

With respect to claim 50, the recited field is dormant based on a distance reads on the device needs to be in proximity of the charger in col. 3, lines 60-67 of Vega.

With respect to claims 59-61, the power supply means reads on power supplied from either reader 101 or charger 104, see col. 4 of Vega. The recited walking of the human body is analogous to access applications for individuals, col. 6, lines 54-67 of Vega.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 65 and 66 are allowed.

Claims 40, 42-44, 51-58, and 62-64 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 11-20-07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The electrification of a human body is shown in figure 2B of Vega.

The detection of a change in the electrification of the human body is shown as the reader 101 as shown in figure 2B.

The human 205 as shown in 2B of Vega inherently has electrification properties and hence meets the claim limitations.

The human being is electrified with respect to the path that consists of current le and capacitor 210 in figure 2B.

## Conclusion

Application/Control Number:

10/547,064 Art Unit: 2612

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DONNIE L. CROSLAND whose telephone number is 571-272-2980. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur. 9:30a-6:00p.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, DANIEL J. WU can be reached on 571-272-2964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number:

10/547,064 Art Unit: 2612

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1009.

DONNIE L. CROSHANI Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2612

DLC 1-28-08